

REPORT ON THE WORK PACKAGE ASSESSMENT

12 May 2020

Evaluation details

Results of evaluation the overall assessment of work package management

Description

The work package leaders (WP2, WP3, WP5, WP6, WP7) evaluated the overall assessment of work package management with high marks. WP3 has been completed successfully.

Table/Figure


Overall assessment of work package management

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Structure of work package time schedule	0	0	0	60.0	40.0
Communication with task leaders	0	0	0	20.0	80.0
Timeliness of feedbacks from the task leaders when requested	0	0	0	0	100.0
Timeliness of providing deliverables	0	0	0	40.0	60.0

University of Nis




Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders



Evaluation of level of involvement

Description																								
<p>The work package leaders (WP2, WP3, WP5, WP6, WP7) evaluated the level of involvement with high marks. The WP Leaders should have the significant role in the implementation of project activities.</p>																								
Table/Figure																								
<h4 style="margin: 0;">Evaluation of level of involvement</h4> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #d9ead3;"> <th style="text-align: left;">Grading</th> <th>Very poor</th> <th>Poor</th> <th>Good</th> <th>Very Good</th> <th>Excellent</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Work package leader is actively involved in the project development</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>40.0</td> <td>60.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Satisfied with the implementation of the work package activities</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>40.0</td> <td>60.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">Distribution among partners of tasks sharing</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>60.0</td> <td>40.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Work package leader is actively involved in the project development	0	0	0	40.0	60.0	Satisfied with the implementation of the work package activities	0	0	0	40.0	60.0	Distribution among partners of tasks sharing	0	0	0	60.0	40.0
Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent																			
Work package leader is actively involved in the project development	0	0	0	40.0	60.0																			
Satisfied with the implementation of the work package activities	0	0	0	40.0	60.0																			
Distribution among partners of tasks sharing	0	0	0	60.0	40.0																			



Please indicate your suggestions for further work package management improvement:

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.